

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/614,658	07/07/2003	Jon Freas	2206.68096	7543
75	90 09/22/2005		EXAM	NER
Patrick G. Burns			TSO, EDWARD H	
GREER, BURNS & CRAIN, LTD. Suite 2500			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
300 South Wacker Drive			2838	
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 09/22/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Ax
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/614,658	FREAS ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Edward H. Tso	2838
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory pr - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNI R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A	CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _		
· · · · · ·	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal mat	ters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	ler <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.[D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the applica	ition.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	ndrawn from consideration.	
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-25</u> is/are rejected.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to	the drawing(s) be held in abeya	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co	rrection is required if the drawing	g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by th	e Examiner. Note the attache	d Office Action or form PTO-152.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority docum		
2. Certified copies of the priority docum		
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	•	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	rossived
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	rlist of the certified copies flot	received.
Attachment(s)	Λ. □	Supermany (DTO 442)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date

Paper No(s)/Mail Date _

2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)

6) Other: _

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 3-5, 8, 14, 15, 17, 19-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wung et al. (US 6,137,260). The reference discloses a multiple connecting pods connected through a plurality of interlocks. Each pod 32 has identical interlocks 34, 36 on either side of the pod. The interlocks have recesses and also function as electrical connectors. See figure 3.

Claims 9, 13, 22 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Roberts (US 5,900,715). The reference discloses a plurality of pods 12 interlock with each other and are flushed with each other. Furthermore, each pod is independently powered through the power strip 26. See figure 1.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2838

Claims 2, 6, 7, 10-12, 16, 18, 23 and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Wung (US 6,137,260) or Roberts (US 5,900,715). The reference does not disclose a tab nor hair trimmer. It is a common knowledge to provide the connectors with tabs so that insertion can be accurately guided to its counterpart. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art to have provided the interlocks with tabs so that they can be easily inserted into each other. Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have applied the device to a hair trimmer, since it has been held to be within the general skill of a worker in the art to select a known material on the basis of its suitability for the intended use as a matter of obvious design choice. *In re Leshin*, 125 USPQ 416.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-25 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to the Examiner at the below-listed number.

If attempts to reach the Examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the Examiner's supervisor, Mike Sherry, can be reached on 571 272 2084.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 571 272 2800, Monday-Friday, 8:30am to 5:00pm, EST.

By:

EDWARD H TSO Primary Examiner 571 272 2087